

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DOUN FUH LAN, individually and on behalf all other
employees similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

FENNY JAPANESE AND CHINESE RESTAURANT
INC. d/b/a HUNAN DYNASTY, CAI FEN CHEN,
KEVIN CHEN, John Doe and Jane Doe # 1-10

Defendants.

Case No.

COLLECTIVE ACTION
COMPLAINT AND JURY
TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff DOUN FUH LAN (“Lan”) on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through their undersigned attorneys, Hang & Associates, PLLC, hereby files this complaint against the Defendants FENNY JAPANESE AND CHINESE RESTAURANT INC. d/b/a HUNAN DYNASTY (“Hunan Dynasty”), CAI FEN CHEN, KEVIN CHEN, John Doe and Jane Doe # 1-10 (“Does”) (collectively “Defendants”), allege and show the Court the following:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff on his own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated employees, alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. (“FLSA”) and the New York Labor Law, arising from Defendants’ various willful and unlawful employment policies, patterns and/or practices.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed widespread violations of the FLSA and NYLL by engaging in a pattern and practice of failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, compensation for all hours worked,

minimum wage, and overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek.

3. Plaintiff alleges pursuant to the FLSA, that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid wages and minimum wages, (2) unpaid overtime wages, (3) liquidated damages, (4) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (5) attorneys' fees and costs.

4. Plaintiff further alleges pursuant to New York Labor Law § 650 et seq. and 12 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations §§ 146 ("NYCRR") that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid wages and minimum wages, (2) unpaid overtime compensation, (3) unpaid "spread of hours" premium for each day they worked ten (10) or more hours, (4) compensation for failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and failure to provide paystubs in violation of the NYLL (5) liquidated damages equal to the sum of unpaid minimum wage, unpaid "spread of hours" premium, unpaid overtime pursuant to the NY Wage Theft Prevention Act; (6) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (7) attorney's fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York Labor Law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because Defendants conduct business in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

PLAINTIFF

7. Plaintiff Doun Fuh Lan (“Lan”) is a resident of Queens and was employed by Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. d/b/a Hunan Dynasty located at 2993 Hempstead Turnpike, Levittown, NY 11756 from March 2015 to January 10, 2016.

DEFENDANTS

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. d/b/a Hunan Dynasty owns and operates a restaurant in Flushing located at 2993 Hempstead Turnpike, Levittown, NY 11756.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. d/b/a Hunan Dynasty had gross sales in excess of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) per year. Upon information and belief, Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. d/b/a Hunan Dynasty purchased and handled goods moved in interstate commerce.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cai Fen Chen is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. d/b/a Hunan Dynasty at 2993 Hempstead Turnpike, Levittown, NY 11756 and participated in the day-to-day operations of Hunan Dynasty and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with UCT Clearview Restaurant Corp. (See Exhibit 2).

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cai Fen Chen owns the stock of Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Kevin Chen is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. d/b/a Hunan Dynasty at 2993 Hempstead Turnpike, Levittown, NY 11756 and participated in the day-to-day operations of Hunan Dynasty and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with UCT Clearview Restaurant Corp. (See Exhibit 2).

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Kevin Chen owns the stock of Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendants John Doe and Jane Doe #1-10 are as-yet unidentified owners, officers, directors, managers, shareholders, and/or agents of Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. at 2993 Hempstead Turnpike, Levittown, NY 11756 and participated in the day-to-day operations of Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. and acted intentionally and maliciously and are employers pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and are jointly and severally liable with Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc.

15. Upon information and belief, John Doe and Jane Doe #1-10 own the stock Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. and manage and make business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work.

16. At all times relevant herein, Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc. was, and continues to be, an “enterprise engaged in commerce” within the meaning of FLSA.

17. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc.

18. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff his lawfully earned minimum wages, overtime compensation and spread-of-hour premiums, and failed to provide him a wage notice at the time of hiring in violation of the NYLL.

19. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

20. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.

21. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of minimum wage, overtime pay, spread of hours pay, and failure to provide the required wage notice at the time of hiring would financially injure Plaintiff and similarly situated employees and violate state and federal laws.

22. From March 2015 to January 10, 2016, Plaintiff was hired by Defendants to work as a server for Defendants’ restaurant located at 2993 Hempstead Turnpike, Levittown, NY 11756.

23. From March 2015 to January 10, 2016, Plaintiff worked from 10:15 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Monday, Wednesday, and Thursday. He worked from 10:15 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., on Friday and Saturday. Plaintiff worked from 10:45 a.m. until 10:00 p.m. on Sunday. He had one

day off each week on Tuesday, because the restaurant was closed. Plaintiff did not have any uninterrupted break time. He worked at least seventy three (73) hours per week.

24. From March 1, 2015 until April 30, 2015, Plaintiff was not paid any wages at all.

25. From May 1, 2015 until January 10, 2016, Plaintiff was paid a flat rate of \$450 per month. He was paid by cash with the exception of one occasion, in which he was paid by check.

26. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff for minimum wage or overtime compensation according to state and federal laws.

27. Plaintiff was not compensated for New York's "spread of hours" premium for shifts that lasted longer than ten (10) hours, one day each week.

28. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with a wage notices at the time of their hiring.

29. The applicable minimum wage for the period of December 31, 2014 to December 30, 2015 is \$8.75 per hour.

30. The applicable minimum wage for the period of December 31, 2015 to January 10, 2016 is \$9.00 per hour.

31. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.

32. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime and the "spread of hours" premium would economically injure Plaintiff and the Collective Members by their violation of federal and state laws.

33. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff was not exempt under federal and state laws requiring employers to pay employees overtime.

34. Plaintiff and the Collective Members' workdays frequently lasted longer than 10 hours.

35. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff and other Collective members' New York's "spread of hours" premium for every day in which they worked over 10 hours.

36. Defendants failed to keep full and accurate records of Plaintiff's hours and wages.

37. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and other Collective members with written notices about the terms and conditions of their employment upon hire in relation to their rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime pay. These notices were similarly not provided upon Plaintiff and other employees' pay increase(s).

38. Defendants committed the foregoing acts against the Plaintiff, and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

39. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA minimum wage or the New York State minimum wage to Plaintiff or other similarly situated employees.

40. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half), or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half), in violation of the FLSA and New York Labor Law and the supporting federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

41. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.

42. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other and former non-exempt employees who have been or were employed by the Defendants at each of their three restaurant locations for up to the last three (3) years, through entry of judgment in this case (the “Collective Action Period”) and whom failed to receive minimum wages, spread-of-hours pay, overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week (the “Collective Action Members”), and have been subject to the same common decision, policy, and plan to not provide required wage notices at the time of hiring, in contravention to federal and state labor laws.

43. Upon information and belief, the Collection Action Members are so numerous the joinder of all members is impracticable. The identity and precise number of such persons are unknown, and the facts upon which the calculations of that number may be ascertained are presently within the sole control of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, there are more than fifteen (15) Collective Action members, who have worked for or have continued to work for the Defendants during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not likely file individual suits because they fear retaliation, lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims. Therefore, Plaintiff submits that this case should be certified as a collection action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

44. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members, and have retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the field of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.

45. This action should be certified as collective action because the prosecution of separate action by individual members of the collective action would risk creating either

inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of this collective that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interest of the other members not party to the adjudication, or subsequently impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

46. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as collective action.

47. Questions of law and fact common to members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the questions of fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:

- a. Whether the Defendants employed Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA;
- b. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members the minimum wage in violation of the FLSA and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
- c. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above forty (40) each workweek in violation of the FLSA and the regulation promulgated thereunder;
- d. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members spread of hours payment for each day an employee worked over 10 hours;

e. Whether the Defendants failed to provide the Collective Action Members with a wage notice at the time of hiring as required by the NYLL;

f. Whether the Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term is used within the context of the FLSA; and,

g. Whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees.

48. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.

49. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been substantially damaged by Defendants' unlawful conduct.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Minimum Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective]

50. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

51. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants have been, and continue to be, "employers" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the production of "goods" for "commerce," within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and §207(a). Further, Plaintiff is covered within the meaning of FLSA, U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).

52. At all relevant times, Defendants employed "employees" including Plaintiff, within the meaning of FLSA.

53. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.

54. The FLSA provides that any employer engaged in commerce shall pay employees the applicable minimum wage. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).

55. At all relevant times, Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay the statutory minimum wage to Plaintiff, and the collective action members, for some or all of the hours they worked.

56. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §206 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid minimum compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages.

57. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by failing to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Members at the statutory minimum wage when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action members.

COUNT II
[Violation of New York Labor Law—Minimum Wage]

58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

59. At all relevant times, plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.

60. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay the minimum wage shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.

61. Defendants knowingly and willfully violated Plaintiff' and Collective Members' rights by failing to pay them minimum wages in the lawful amount for hours worked.

COUNT III
[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Overtime Wage
Brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective]

62. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

63. The FLSA provides that no employer engaged in commerce shall employ a covered employee for a work week longer than forty (40) hours unless such employee receives compensation for employment in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he or she is employed, or one and one-half times the minimum wage, whichever is greater. 29 USC §207(a).

64. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC §216(b).

65. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the FLSA.

66. At all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and a half to Plaintiff and Collective Action Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).

67. The FLSA and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of employment law requires employers to notify employment law requirements. 29 C.F.R. §516.4.

68. Defendants willfully failed to notify Plaintiff and FLSA Collective of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiff's and FLSA Collectives' labor.

69. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Members the statutory overtime rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action members.

COUNT IV
[Violation of New York Labor Law—Overtime Pay]

70. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

71. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay proper overtime compensation shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.

72. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the Collective Members their overtime pay violated the NYLL.

73. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective was not in good faith.

COUNT VI
[Violations of New York Labor Article 6- Failure to Pay Agreed Upon Wages]

74. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

75. Defendants have engaged in a pattern, practice, and policy of failing to compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Members at their agreed hourly regular rates for all hours either worked or required to be compensated.

76. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the Collective Members their wages at their agreed hourly regular rates for all hours worked or required to be compensated by law violates the New York Labor Law Article 6, §§ 190 et seq.

77. By failing to pay Plaintiff his wages, Defendants blatantly disregarded their obligations to pay wages weekly and not later than seven calendar days after the week in which the wages were earned.

78. Defendants' knowing and deliberate acts are in direct contravention of NYLL §191(1)(a)

79. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid wages, damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and the costs of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law Article 6, § 198.

COUNT VII
[Violation of New York Labor Law—Spread of Time Pay]

80. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

81. The NYLL requires employers to pay an extra hour's pay for every day that an employee works an interval in excess of ten hours pursuant to NYLL §§190, et seq., and §650, et seq., and New York State Department of Labor regulations §146-1.6.

82. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and FLSA Collective spread-of-hours pay was not in good faith.

COUNT VIII
[Violation of New York Labor Law—Time of Hire Wage Notice Requirement]

83. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

84. The NYLL and supporting regulations require employers to provide written notice of the rate or rates of pay and the basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as a part of minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer. NYLL §195-1(a).

85. Defendants intentionally failed to provide notice to employees in violation of New York Labor Law § 195, which requires all employers to provide written notice in the employee's primary language about the terms and conditions of employment related to rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime on his or her first day of employment.

86. Defendants not only did not provide notice to each employee at Time of Hire, but failed to provide notice to each Plaintiff even after the fact.

87. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, each Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$50 for each workday that the violation occurred or continued to occur, up to \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law. N.Y. Lab. Law §198(1-b).

COUNT IX
[Violation of New York Labor Law—New York Pay Stub Requirement]

88. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

89. The NYLL and supporting regulations require employers to provide detailed paystub information to employees every payday. NYLL §195-1(d).

90. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the New York Labor Law with respect to compensation of each Plaintiff, and did not provide the paystub on or after Plaintiff's payday.

91. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$250 for each workday of the violation, up to \$5,000 for each Plaintiff together with costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law N.Y. Lab. Law §198(1-d).

COUNT X
[Civil Damages for Deceptive Acts and Practices — Violations of New York General Business Law §349 Brought on Behalf of the Plaintiff]

92. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

93. NY General Business Law §349 provides that if any person willfully files a fraudulent information return with respect to payments purported to be made to any other person, such other person may bring a civil action for damages against the person so filing such a return.

94. Due to Defendants' violations of NY GBS Law §349, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his actual damages or fifty dollars (\$50), whichever is greater, or both such actions.

95. Plaintiff demands the right to examine, in person or by attorney, the minutes of the proceedings of shareholders and records of shareholders of Defendant Corporation to recover wages owed as employees of the corporation (See Exhibit 3).

Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA collective plaintiffs, respectfully requests that this court enter a judgment providing the following relief:

- a) Authorizing plaintiff at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have been employed by defendants as non-exempt tipped or non-tipped employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and premium overtime wages;
- b) Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to FLSA;
- c) Issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;
- d) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA and New York Labor Law;
- e) An injunction against Hunan Dynasty, its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;

- f) An award of unpaid wages and minimum wages due Plaintiff and the Collective Action members under the FLSA and New York Labor Law, plus compensatory and liquidated damages in the amount of twenty five percent under NYLL §§190 et seq., §§650 et seq., and one hundred percent after April 9, 2011 under NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, and interest;
- g) An award of unpaid overtime wages due under FLSA and New York Labor Law;
- h) An award of unpaid “spread of hours” premium due under the New York Labor Law;
- i) An award of damages for Defendants’ failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring as required under the New York Labor Law.
- j) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants’ knowing and willful failure to pay wages, minimum wages and overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216;
- k) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants’ willful failure to pay wages, minimum wages, overtime compensation, and “spread of hours” premium pursuant to New York Labor Law;
- l) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys’ and expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and NYLL §§198 and 663;
- m) The cost and disbursements of this action;
- n) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment fees;
- o) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following the issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall

automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL §198(4); and

p) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Collective Action Members, demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: Flushing, New York, April 8, 2016

HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.

/S/ JIAN HANG

Jian Hang, Esq.

136-18 39th Ave., Suite 1003

Flushing, New York 11354

Tel: 718.353.8588

jhang@hanglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1

**CONSENT TO SUE UNDER
FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Fenny Japanese and Chinese Restaurant Inc., Cai Fen Chen, Kevin Chen and/or related entities. I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

DOON-FUN CHEN

Full Legal Name (Print)



Signature

4/7/16

Date

EXHIBIT 2

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY
FOR SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: CAI FEN CHEN, and KEVIN CHEN

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that DOUN FUH LAN, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of HUNAN DYNASTY for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: April 8, 2016

EXHIBIT 3

**DEMAND BY EMPLOYEES TO INSPECT SHARE RECORDS AND
MINUTES PURSUANT TO SECTION 624 OF THE NEW YORK STATE
BUSINESS CORPORATION LAW**

TO: FENNY JAPANESE AND CHINESE RESTAURANT INC.
2993 Hempstead Turnpike
Levittown, New York 11756

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that DOUN FUH LAN and others similarly situated as employees of the above corporations who intend to demand, pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, payment of debts, wages and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the above corporations for services performed by them for the above corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice from the ten largest shareholders of the above corporations, and who have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

HEREBY DEMAND the right to examine, in person or by agent or attorney, during usual business hours, the minutes of the proceedings of the shareholders and records of shareholders of the above corporations and to make extracts therefrom on or after five (5) days from receipt of this notice.

Dated: April 8, 2016